UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,992 | 03/30/2006 | Akira Ishibashi | 1033413-000008 | 1804 |
| | 7590 07/22/201 INGERSOLL & ROOI | EXAMINER | | |
| POST OFFICE | BOX 1404 | DUONG, THO V | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3744 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/22/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

| Office Action Summary | | Application N | lo. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|---|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | 10/573,992 | | ISHIBASHI ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Tho v. Duong | | 3744 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication | on appears on the co | ver sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up | This action is non-fullowance except for | formal matters, pro | | e merits is | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | maon Ex panto Quayx | ,, 1000 0.2. 11, 10 | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11,13 and 14</u> is/are reject Claim(s) <u>4 and 12</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | ithdrawn from consided. and/or election requestions. | irement. | - - - - - | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) [48) 5) [6) [| Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other: | ate | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed 5/13/10 is acknowledged. Claims 1-14 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/13/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the examiner has not identified what arrangement in Kusuhara would lead the air pressure loss of a heat exchanger disposed adjacent to the upper inlet being larger than the air pressure loss of the remote heat exchanger, has been very carefully considered but is not found to be persuasive. Clearly, the examiner points out in the rejection that the remote heat exchanger (112) has no louvers to lower pressure loss in compare to the louvered upper heat exchangers.

Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: It appear that "films" in line 3 is a typographical error of "fins". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,5,10,11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kusuhara Hisao (JP 1123179). Kusuhara Hisao discloses (figures 1,6,9 and 10-11)) an indoor

Application/Control Number: 10/573,992 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

unit of an air conditioner comprising an upper air inlet (105); a plurality of fin tube type heat exchangers each having heat transfer tubes extending through stacked plate fins; a fan (101); an air passage; and an air outlet (104); wherein the plurality of fin tube type heat exchangers include an adjacent heat exchanger disposed adjacent to the air inlet and a remote heat exchanger disposed farther from the upper air inlet than the adjacent heat exchanger, the adjacent and remote heat exchangers surround the fan; the adjacent heat exchanger consists of an upper front heat exchanger provided in an upper front area bellow the upper air inlet (105) and slightly tiled so as to make its upper portion backward and its lower portion positioned forward, and a rear heat exchanger provided in an upper area bellow the upper air inlet and slightly tilted so as to make its upper portion positioned forward and its lower portion backward, and the upper front and rear heat exchanger have substantially the same shape and are connected so that an end face of one of the upper front and rear heat exchangers is in face contact with a side face of the other heat exchanger near the upper inlet; the air pressure loss of an adjacent heat exchanger disposed adjacent the upper air inlet is larger than the air pressure loss of a remote heat exchanger (lower heat exchanger 112); the heat exchangers have louvers (109,110) and louvers (110) positioned on the most downstream side in a row direction are shaped like a parallelogram having opposite sides inclined downward at a predetermined angle to the row direction; and in the other embodiment, the lower heat exchanger (112) does not have louvers to lower pressure loss. Regarding claim 14, Kusuhara discloses (figure 11) that a panel (103) is formed in the indoor unit and air does not pass through the front panel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futagami (JP 2002213764) in view of Itagaki (JP 10038302). Futagami discloses (figure 1) an indoor unit of an air conditioner comprising an upper air inlet; a plurality of fin tube type heat exchangers (8) each having heat transfer tubes extending through stacked plate fins; a fan (10); an air passage; and an air outlet (7); wherein the plurality of fin tube type heat exchangers include an adjacent heat exchanger (upper 8a and 8b) disposed adjacent to the air inlet and a remote heat exchanger (lower 8a) disposed farther from the upper air inlet than the adjacent heat exchanger, the adjacent and remote heat exchangers surround the fan, an auxiliary heat exchanger (12) is provided on an upstream side of the remote heat exchanger, a front panel (3) being formed in the indoor unit through which no air passes and a space (wherein 6 and 7 located) is provided bellow the front panel (3) opposite the auxiliary heat exchanger (12) to pass air there through; the adjacent heat exchanger includes of an upper front heat exchanger (upper 8a) provided in an upper front area bellow the upper air inlet and slightly tiled so as to make its upper portion backward and its lower portion positioned forward, and a rear heat exchanger (8b) provided in an upper area bellow the upper air inlet and slightly tilted so as to make its upper portion positioned forward and its lower portion backward, and the upper front and rear heat exchanger have the same shape. Futagami does not disclose that the fins have louvered portions and an end face of one of the front heat exchanger is in face contact with a side face of the other heat exchanger. Itagaki

discloses (figures 1-2) an indoor air conditioner that has fins with louvers portion for a purpose of improving the heat exchanger performance of the air conditioner and an end face of an upper heat exchanger (82,83) is in face contact with a side face of the other heat exchanger (82,83) of the heat exchanger adjacent the upper inlet of the air conditioner (figure 1) for a purpose of eliminating any intermediate joining portion between the two heat exchangers so a more compact air conditioner can be formed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Itagaki's teaching in Futagami's device for a purpose of improving the heat exchanger performance of the air conditioner and eliminating any intermediate joining portion between the two heat exchangers so a more compact air conditioner can be formed.

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kusuhara Hisao in view of Futagami (JP 2002213764). Kusuhara substantially discloses all of applicant's claimed invention as discussed above except for the limitation of an auxiliary heat exchanger provided on an air upstream side of the remote heat exchanger and a front panel with a space is provided bellow the front panel. Futagami discloses (figure 1) an indoor air heat exchanger that has an auxiliary heat exchanger (12) provided on an air upstream side of the remote heat exchanger for a purpose of enhancing the heat exchange performance for the air conditioner and a front panel (3) being formed in the indoor unit through which no air passes and a space is provided (6 and 7 located in) below the front panel (3) opposite the auxiliary heat exchanger for a purpose of separating the upper inlet section and air outlet of the unit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Futagami's teaching in Kusuhara's device for a purpose of enhancing the heat exchange

performance of the air conditioner and separating the upper inlet section and air outlet section of the unit.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kusuhara in view of Kitazawa et al. (JP 2003028594A). Kusuhara substantially disclose all of applicant's claimed invention as discussed above except for the limitation that the lower pressure can be resulted from a larger pitch. Kitazawa discloses (figure 1) a heat exchanger that has pitch of lower fins (2b) is larger than the pitch of upper fins (2a) for a purpose of lowering the pressure loss of the lower fin and delaying any icing accreting from the bottom fin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Kitazawa's teaching in Kusuhara's device for a purpose of lowering the pressure loss of the lower fin and delaying any icing accreting from the bottom fin.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kusuhara in view of Mukoda et al. (JP 411281280A). Kusuhara substantially discloses all of applicant's claimed invention as discussed above except for the limitation that the height of the louver of the remote heat exchanger is lower than the louver of the adjacent heat exchanger. The prior arts of Kusuhara (figure 9) or Kitazawa (as rejected in claim 6) discloses to have a lower pressure loss in the remote heat exchanger than the adjacent heat exchanger achieved by having larger air flow passage (higher fin pitch) or less flow obstacle in the flow path (flat fin in compare with louvered fin) in the remote heat exchanger than the adjacent heat exchanger. Mukoda et al discloses that the height of the louvers can also vary the pressure loss or flow resistance of the air flow. In the instant case, Mukoda teaches that one louver is shorter the other louvers for a purpose of lower the flow resistance or the pressure loss of the airflow while maintaining a high

heat transfer surface area of the fin due to the presence of the louvers on fins. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ Mukoda's teaching of different louver height in Kusuhara's device for a purpose of lowering the pressure of loss or flow resistance of the remote heat exchanger while maintaining a high heat transfer surface area of the fin.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,992 Page 8

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho v. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tyler J. Cheryl can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tho v Duong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744